

**From:** Colin King [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** 30 July 2020 22:05  
**To:** Norfolk Boreas <[NorfolkBoreas@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:NorfolkBoreas@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Norfolk Boreas EN10087

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

I apologise for submitting this late, and I hope it can still be included.

These are the questions I put to the applicant at the Open Floor Hearing:

1. Why do you consider it acceptable to use a noise limit 6.6dB 5mins and 3.6dB 15mins, over the average background noise level?
2. Why do you think it appropriate to use the same noise limit as Dudgeon, when Dudgeon's nearest sensitive receptor is next to the A47, and Vanguard's and Boreas's are in a quiet, tranquil area?
3. Why isn't there any adjustment in the sound sensitivity rating of residential properties, according to the existing character of the surrounding area, and residential properties are rated moderate regardless of whether they are near a main road, in a village, or in a quiet area?
4. Are you regarding Necton and the surrounding area as an industrial, commercial area, as you are using a noise limit greater than the measured background noise level, and only intending to partially screen a large industrial construction, in a rural area?
5. Is it acceptable to use data from only two long term sound monitoring points, and one measured for a day, out of the proposed 12?

Thank You Colin King 20022983.